| 1                                |  |   |
|----------------------------------|--|---|
| 2                                |  |   |
| 3                                |  |   |
| 4                                |  |   |
| 5                                | LINITED STATES D   | ISTRICT COURT   |
| 6                                | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON<br>AT TACOMA  |   |
| 7                                | ATTAC  | OWIA  |
| 8                                | MICHAEL HOLMBERG,  |   |
| 9                                | Plaintiff,   | CASE NO. C13-5069 BHS   |
| 10                               | v.   | ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S<br>MOTIONS  |
| 11                               | BERNARD WARNER,  |   |
| 12                               | Defendant.   |   |
|                                  |  |   |
| 13                               |  |   |
| 13<br>14                         | This matter comes before the Court on 3  | Plaintiff Michael Holmberg's  |
|                                  | This matter comes before the Court on ("Holmberg") motion for extension of time (D   | J   |
| 14                               |  | J   |
| 14<br>15                         | ("Holmberg") motion for extension of time (D   | kt. 54) and motion for reconsideration  |
| 14<br>15<br>16                   | ("Holmberg") motion for extension of time (D (Dkt. 55).  | kt. 54) and motion for reconsideration eport and Recommendation dismissing  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17             | ("Holmberg") motion for extension of time (D (Dkt. 55).  On July 8, 2013, the Court adopted a Recommendation of time (D).  | kt. 54) and motion for reconsideration eport and Recommendation dismissing st his administrative remedies. Dkt. 8. The  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18       | ("Holmberg") motion for extension of time (D (Dkt. 55).  On July 8, 2013, the Court adopted a Roll Holmberg's claims because he failed to exhaust  | kt. 54) and motion for reconsideration eport and Recommendation dismissing st his administrative remedies. Dkt. 8. The Holmberg. Dkt. 9. On August 8, 2013, a   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19 | ("Holmberg") motion for extension of time (D (Dkt. 55).  On July 8, 2013, the Court adopted a Roll Holmberg's claims because he failed to exhaust next day, the Clerk entered judgment against H | kt. 54) and motion for reconsideration eport and Recommendation dismissing st his administrative remedies. Dkt. 8. The Holmberg. Dkt. 9. On August 8, 2013, a ember 26, 2013, Holmberg filed a motion |

| 1  | 4, 2013, the Government responded. Dkt. 50. On October 10, 2013, the Court denied          |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | Holmberg's motions. Dkt. 52. On October 17, 2013, Holmberg filed a motion for              |  |
| 3  | extension of time to reply to the Government's response. Dkt. 54. On October 21, 2013,     |  |
| 4  | Holmberg filed a motion for reconsideration of the Court's order denying Holmberg's        |  |
| 5  | motions. Dkt. 55.  |  |
| 6  | With regard to the motion for an extension of time, Holmberg requests additional           |  |
| 7  | time to file a reply because he has limited access to the law library. Dkt. 54. The Court, |  |
| 8  | however, found that a reply brief was unnecessary and ruled on the motion before a reply   |  |
| 9  | brief was filed. Holmberg argues that the early ruling deprived him of his "right of free  |  |
| 10 | speech, redress and due process of law in relation to [his] motions, resulting in          |  |
| 11 | prejudice." Dkt. 55 at 1. These arguments are without merit. Holmberg essentially          |  |
| 12 | disagrees with the Court's dispositive ruling and final judgment. Holmberg may appeal      |  |
| 13 | these issues, which he has done. On the other hand, filing multiple frivolous post-        |  |
| 14 | judgment motions is an improper attack on the rulings and a waste of resources.            |  |
| 15 | Therefore the Court <b>DENIES</b> Holmberg's motions.                                      |  |
| 16 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |  |
| 17 | Dated this 18th day of November, 2013.   |  |
| 18 | L 10   |  |
| 19 | Doya \ South   |  |
| 20 | BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |